### **Reference:**

- 1. Veltishev U. Y., Zaprudnov A. M. Therapy of immune deficiency in children//Russian bulletin of perinatology and pediatrics M., 2004. № 6.
- 2. Kapustina T. A., Parilova O. V., Lopatnikova Y. V. clinical-immunologic characteristics of patients with chronic mandibular sinusitis exacerbation associated with Chlamidia infection//Rus. Rhinol. 2010. № 2.
- 3. Plujnikov M. S., Lavrenova G. V., Katinas Y. B. The main principles of immune correction therapy in otorhinolaryngology//Otorhinolaryngology bulletin – 2008. – № 4.
- 4. Lim M., Lew-Gor S., Darby Y. et al. The relationship between subjective assessment instruments in chronic rhinosinusitis.//Rhinology. – 2008. – vol.45 (2).

Hakobyan Arman, Central Clinical Military Hospital of RA PhD in medical science, Department of Neurosurgery E-mail: neuroarman@tahoo.com

# The methodology of back lateral endoscopic percutaneous transforaminal extraction of intervertebral hernia under X-ray control

**Abstract:** The method of minimally invasive surgery of extraction of intervertebral hernia is described. The adventages of back lateral endoscopic percutaneous transforaminal extraction of intervertebral hernia under X-ray control are showed in this article.

Keywords: minimally invasive surgery, endoscopic discectomy, intervertebral hernia, percutaneous

# Introduction

World's sciential and technological progress during last 20 years contributed to emergence of minimally invasive surgery. The methods of laser vaporization of intervertebral hernia, cold ablation, endoscopic mechanical discectomy, the wrinkling of hernia with drugs were created and put in practice. The rapid increase of minimal invasive surgeries of intervertebral hernia was observed from 1995 to 2000. Endoscopic extraction allows extracting interverterbral hernia with mechanical method. The indications and results of endoscopic discectomy are often incompatible [3, 545–51; 4, 633-651; 9, 317-324; 14; 15, 21-30; 1; 5, 405-419; 17, 225–229]. Some authors think that endoscopic surgery has strict limits and their results don't differ from the results of intervertebral hernia vaporization. Other authors have developed the techniques of endoscopic surgery and they offer it for intervertebral hernia extraction despite the size and location [11, 23–32; 13, 2231-2239; 12, 311-320; 8, 121-128; 7, 314-318;2, 157-174; 6, 557-560]. The types, indications, efficiency of endoscopic surgery are incompatible in literatures. There are many methods of minimal invasive surgery and the most efficient should be chosen, which will allow operating on intervertebral hernias despite size and location [10, 210–217;16, 349–353].

# **Material and Methods**

With endoscopic transforaminal method we have operated on 203 patients. In 11 (5,4%) cases patients were operated on because of foraminal stenosis of spine tube. In 192 (94,6%) cases patients were operated on because of intervertebral hernia.

In our studies 95 (46,8%) patients had intervertebral hernia on L4-L5 level, in 70 (34,4%) cases the intervertebral hernia was on L5-S1 level and in 27(13,4%)cases it was on L3-L4 level. We have operated on patients with foraminal, extraforaminal, lateral, central and mix located intervertebral hernia. We have used tools and endoscope for intervertebral hernia extraction produced by Karl Storz and Max-More. The operations were done under neuroaxial epidural anesthetization. While epidural anesthetization the patients' consciousness was clear and the motor function of lower limb was preserved in contrast to spinal anesthetization. While epidural anesthetization nerve root damaging is excluded. If the surgical tool touches nerve root the patients will feel it and will inform the surgeon. The patients' position on surgical table is on the opposite of hernia. The surgical entrance is above ischium top, 10-12 cm. lateral from medium line. Inserting the needle from this point it reaches to the required level of art, after which X-ray scope is done. Removing the needle metal pin is put into it, then the needle is removed and 1 cm. incision of skin and soft tissues is done. After all these the dilatators of soft tissue (3 and 8 diameter) are put on pin. With dilatators it's easy to 2kpunuquunkl soft tissue from skin to bone, due to which there wouldn't be bleeding and soft tissue

а

damaging. After all these the dilatators were removed and the case of oval nail was put. The pin is removed and the nail մեջդիրը was put into the nail case and after reinforcing it is spherical. It was held to intervertebral hernia by striking with hammer (Pic. 1).



b

Pic. 1 The process of putting oval nail: a - the case of nail is put on pin; b - the case and nail in collected form

After removing the ution p of oval nail, the pin was put into the case and the case of needle was removed. The first wimble was placed over the pins (4 diameters). The pin was removed.

Lancing spinal tube the prolaps was again felt. Similar actions were approximately done, exchanging wimbles of 5, 7, 8 and 9 diameters.

After these actions the last wimble was removed and working tube was held through pin. X-ray control was

а

done in order to prove that working tube was in spinal tube (Pic. 2).

After that endoscop was put and the second period of endoscopic extraction of intervertebral hernia was begun. I would like to mention that the endoscope used during the operation has working tube with 3 diameters which allows putting and using clam with 2; 2,5 and 3 diameters. Besides endoscope has 2 clams for constant washing (Pic. 3).

b



Pic. 2. a - the wimble of 5 diameter; b - working tube placed into spinal tube



Pic. 3. The structure of endoscope for intervertebral hernia extraction

Putting endoscope into working tube was the beginning of second period. At first there would be difficulties for endoscopic extraction, as the view was closed by blood clots. The blood clots were washed by NaCl 0,9 % liquor and were removed through endoscopic working tube, after which yellow ligament and the hole in it was seen, which as a rule was closed with bone and yellow ligament pieces. After the extraction of bone and yellow ligament pieces nerve root and intervertebral hernia, which compressed it, were seen. After differentiation hernia from nerve root the endoscopic extraction of hernia was begun. The hernia was extracted with clam (Pic. 4).

The latter was removed in pieces. 1-1,5 cmworking area was opened with the open ending of calm which was moved cranial or caudal. This area was quite enough for extracting big sized hernias. Again X-ray control was done in order to be sure that the ending of clam reaches to the central part of hernia (Pic. 5).



Pic. 4. a – Nerve root compressed by hernia; b – the extraction of hernia is shown





After the extraction of hernia and X-ray control endoscope was placed 1 cm deeper and nerve root lateral examination was done. After complete extraction of hernia on lateral and ventral sides of nerve root it has disappeared (Pic. 6).

After the extraction of hernia the epidural area was washed with NaCl 0,9% liquor. After this the working tube was removed and was sewed (Pic. 7). The luuntunpfor epidural anesthetization was also removed. With endoscopic method we have operated on patients with different size of hernia. So we can assure you that the size of hernia is not a contraindication for hernia extraction with endoscopic method (Pic. 7b).

12 hours later the patients were allowed walking. The next day of the operation they went home. The average duration of the operations was 1 hour.



Pic. 6. The endoscopic view of freed nerve from the compression of hernia



Pic. 7. a - The size of scar after the operation; b - big hernia extracted with endoscopic method

#### Results

203 patients were operated on with endoscopic percutaneous method. In 182 (89,6%) the results were good. It means that after the operation neither medical treatment nor physiotherapy was needed. In 5 (2,5%) cases the results were enough. It means that after the operation both medical treatment and physiotherapy were needed. In 2 (1%) cases the results weren't effective so the results weren't sufficient. In 12 (5,9%) cases in early postoperative period the pain syndrome sharpen, which is conditioned redetsive (nhghnll) of intervertebral hernia. These patients were re-operated on. And then the results were good. In 2 (1%) cases in postoperative period inflammatory complication such spondilit and spondilodistsit were developed, because of which patients received medical treatment for a long time.

### Conclusion

The method of back lateral endoscopic percutaneous transforaminal extraction of intervertebral hernia under X-ray control allows extracting hernia of different size and location. The appropriate knowledge allows doing it within an hour. After the operation lateral and ventral endoscopic examination of nerve root assures that the hernia was completely removed.

This method allows operating on without general anesthetization.

The good results of endoscopic percutaneous transforaminal method were 89,6 %, which corresponds to the results of open surgery described in literature.

## **Reference:**

- 1. Apsingi S., Dussa C.U., Soni B.M.M. Epidemiological analysis of 202 cases of Spinal injury in a tertiary referral centre.
- 2. Arca M.J., Biermann J.S., Johnson T.M., et al. Biopsy techniques for skin, soft-tissue, and bone neoplasms. Surg Oncol Clin N Am 1995, 4 (1): 157–174.
- 3. Ashizawa R., Ohtsuka K., Kamimura M., et al. Percutaneous transpedicular biopsy of thoracic and lumbar vertebrae – method and diagnostic validity. Surg Neurol – 1999, – 52 (6): 545–51.
- 4. Ayala A.G., Ro J.Y., Fanning C.V., Flores J.P., Yasko A.W. Core needle biopsy and fine needle aspiration in the diagnosis of bone and soft tissue lesions. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am. 1995, 9: 633–651.
- 5. Bell G.R., Ross J.S. Diagnosis of nerve root compression. Myelography, computed tomography, and MRI. Orthop Clin North Am. 1992, 23: 405–419
- 6. Bonneville J.F. Plaidoyer pour une classification par l'image des hernies discales lombaires: La carte-image, Rev Imag Med 1990, 2: 557–560.
- 7. Brunto S., Berge J., Barreau X., Ménégon P., Dousset V. Long term clinical follow up of vertebral hemangiomas treated by percutaneous vertebroplasty, J Radiol, 2005, 214: 314–318.
- 8. Campagnolo D.I., Bartlett J.A., Keller S.E. Influence of neurological level on immune function following spinal cord injury: a review. J Spinal Cord Med 2000, 23: 121–128.
- 9. Carl A.L., Tromanhauser S.C., Roger D.J. Transpedicular screw instrumentation for thoracolumbar burst fractures and fracture dislocation. Spine 1992, 17: 317–324.
- 10. Christensen F.B., Bunger C. Stabilisation surgery for chronic low back pain: indications, surgical procedures and outcome Scand J Rheumatol. 2004, 33: 210–217.
- 11. Costa M.J., Campman S.C., Davis R.L. SAT (ASCP), Howell LP, Fine- needle aspiration cytology of sarcoma: Retrospective review of diagnostic utility and specificity, Vol 15 (1): 23–32: July 1996.
- 12. Cotton A., Boutry N., Cortet B., Assaker R., Demondion X., Leblond D., Chastanet P., Duquesnoy B., Deramond H. Percutaneous vertebroplasty: State of the art. Radiographics 1998, 18: 311–320.
- 13. Esses S.I., Sachs B.L., Dreyzin V. Complication associated with the techniques of pedicle screw fixation: a selected survey of ABS members. Spine 1993, 18: 2231–2239.
- 14. Fardon D.F., Herzog R.J., Mink J.H., et al: Nomenclature of Lumbar Disc Disorders, Contemporary Concepts in Spine Care. Rosemont, IL, North American Spine Society, 1995.
- 15. Fourney D.R., Schomer D.F., Nader R., Chlan J., Suki D., Ahrar K., Rhines L.D., Gokaslan Z.L. Percutaneous vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty for painful vertebral body fractures in cancer patients, J Neurosurg Spine – 2003, – 98: 21–30.
- Hau A., Kim I., Kattapuram S., Hornicek F.J., Rosenberg A.E., Gebhardt M.C., Mankin H.J. Accuracy of CTguided biopsies in 359 patients with musculoskeletal lesions, Skeletal Radiol. – 2002 Jun;31 (6): 349–353.
- 17. Jasper G.P., Franxisco G.M., Telfeian A.E., A retrospective evaluation of the clinical success of transforaminal endoscopic discectomy with foraminotomy in geriatric patients, Pain Physician. 2013 May–Jun;16 (3): 225–229.